



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

entering up an award of arbitrators as the judgment or decree of the Chancery Court of the City of Richmond.

*Wyndham R. Mercedith*, for petitioner.

*McGuire, Riely & Bryan*, for respondents.

---

COHEN & WINSTON *v.* WALFORD, Collector.

Jan. 26, 1911.

[70 S. E. 850.]

**Appeal and Error (§ 36\*)—Jurisdiction—Nature of Controversy.**—The Supreme Court of Appeals has no jurisdiction of a mere pecuniary controversy involving a tax amounting to less than \$300.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Appeal and Error, Cent. Dig. §§ 114-116; Dec. Dig. § 36.\* 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 477.]

Error to Hustings Court of Richmond.

Action between Cohen & Winston, receivers, and one Walford, Collector. From the judgment, the receivers bring error. Writ dismissed.

*Page & Leary*, for plaintiffs in error.

*H. R. Pollard*, for defendant in error.

---

Petition of SAVILLE.

Jan. 27, 1911.

[70 S. E. 850.]

**Deeds (§ 81\*)—Recording—Taxes—Statutes.**—The change in Tax Law, § 13, imposing on real estate deeds admitted to record a tax, made by Act March 17, 1910 (Acts 1910, c. 315), does not increase the amount due for recordation of deeds, and the section as amended is in legal effect the same as before the amendment (Acts 1902-04, c. 148).

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Dec. Dig. § 81.\*]

Mandamus by William W. Rountree against Charles O. Saville, Clerk of the Chancery Court of the City of Richmond. There was a judgment granting relief, and defendant petitions for a writ of error and supersedeas. Petition denied.

*Samuel W. Williams*, Atty. Gen., for petitioner.

---

\*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.